

CHAD WILLIAM REED,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) No. CIV-16-461-C
)
 JASON BRYANT, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

The conclusion to deny Plaintiff's Supplemental Motion to Amend the Complaint reached by Judge Purcell in his Second Supplemental Report and Recommendation is not "clearly erroneous or contrary to law," 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), and, over Plaintiff's objection, the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 92) is adopted. Plaintiff's Supplemental Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint (Dkt. No. 90) is DENIED.


ROBIN J. CAUTHRON
United States District Judge